

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington D.C. 20221 www.nspto.gov

T	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/380,738	12/06/1999	ERIC C. REYNOLDS	040268/0161	3015
· -	90 02/08/2002 DINIED		EXAM	INER
FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K STREET NW SUITE 500 PO BOX 25696			LUKTON, DAVID	
WASHINGTON, DC 200078696			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1653	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/380,738

Applicant(s)

Reynolds

Office Action Summary

Examiner David Lukton

Art Unit 1653

	tel de company de la coddrage -
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply 	ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period	will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
 communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	e, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). In g date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	
1) 💢 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Nov 28, 2</u>	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This act	
3) X Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Expansion	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is arte Quay/835 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	is/are pending in the applica
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-7, 9-11, 14-26, 30-38, and 41-49</u>	is/are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>1-6, 15-24, and 30-38</u>	is/are withdrawn from considera
5) 🛛 Claim(s) <u>7, 9-11, 14, 25, 26, and 41-49</u>	is/are allowed.
6)	is/are rejected.
7)	is/are objected to.
8)	are subject to restriction and/or election requirer
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are objected to by the Examiner.
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∏ approved b)⊡disapproved.
12) \square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examir	ner.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1 Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received.
2 Certified copies of the priority documents have	e been received in Application No
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Burea *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under do division 3 miles
Attachment(s)	CT
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20)

Serial No. 09/380,738 Art Unit 1653

Pursuant to the directives of paper No. 16 (filed 11/26/01), claims 8, 12, 13, 27, 28, 39, 40 have been cancelled, claims 1, 4, 7, 9-11, 15, 25 amended, and claims 41-49 added. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 14-26, 30-38, 41-49 are pending.

Claims 1-6, 17-24, 30-38 remain withdrawn from consideration. Claims 15-16, previously examined, are now also withdrawn, since they are no longer limited to the elected subject matter. Claim 15 previously was limited to a method of producing a complex, wherein the complex was subgeneric to the complex that was described in claim 7. Now, claim 15 is not limited to any specific complex. For example, claim 16 would encompass a complex which had been obtained by lowering the pH from 9.0 to 6.5, and isolating that material. In addition, claim 15 encompasses the possibility of any number of processes being conducted between steps (iv) and (v), including, for example, removing the phosphopeptide. It is suggested that claim 15 be amended to describe a calcium phosphate complex with sufficient specificity that it is clearly subgeneric to claim 7. If this is done, claims 15-16 will be rejoined.

For purposes of this Office action, claims 7, 9-11, 14, 25, 26, 41-49 are characterized as allowable. The sole issue addressed in this Office action is that of rejoinder of the non-elected claims. Claim 7 is drawn to an **alkaline** calcium phosphate complex in which the calcium phosphate is **alkaline**. Thus, both the complex itself, and the calcium phosphate are alkaline. By contrast, claim 1 does not require the complex itself to be alkaline. In

Serial No. 09/380,738 Art Unit 1653

fact, claim 1 does not necessarily require the amorphous calcium fluoride phosphate to be All that claim 1 requires is that somewhere there exists calcium fluoride alkaline. phosphate which is not necessarily amorphous, but is alkaline. No determination has been made as to what might, or might not constitute new matter. Nevertheless, if rejoinder of the non-elected subject matter is sought, it is suggested that applicants amend claim 1 to mandate that both the complex itself and the amorphous calcium fluoride phosphate are However, if claim 1 (and claims If this is done, rejoinder will be considered. alkaline. subgeneric thereto) are determined to be new matter, the claims will be so rejected. If applicants regard these limitations as new matter, it is suggested that applicants cancel claim 1, and claims subgeneric thereto, or dependent thereon.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle* [1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213].

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

The following are observations on the claims:

(a) in claim 11 the first five amino acids are the following: Gln-Met-Glu-Ala-Gelu-This contains a typographical error.

- (b) In claim 45, there is an error in claim dependence. Presumably dependence on claim 44 is intended.
- (c) In claim 47, there is an error in claim dependence. Presumably dependence on claim 46 is intended.
- (d) enablement is lacking for claims such as 31, 33 and 35 which assert or imply therapeutic efficacy.
- (e) in claim 25, the phrase "such treatment" lacks antecedent basis, and renders the claim non-enabled.
- (f) claim 9 should be either cast in independent form, or made dependent on claim 7. As matters currently stand, claim 9 is not subgeneric to claim 41.
- (g) claim 9 is indefinite as to the counterion. Can the counterion be a hydronium ion or a proton?
- (h) claim 25 is drawn to a method of inhibiting a disease. However, as a semantic matter, one cannot inhibit a state of being, only a process. By way of analogy, one can inhibit the formation of rust, but one cannot inhibit rust *per se*. It is suggested that claim 25 be clearly limited to a method of inhibiting a process. For example, if descriptive support exists for it, applicants could claim the following:

A method of inhibiting demineralization of teeth comprising ...

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton. Phone: (703) 308-3213.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

DAVID LUKTON
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800